arXiv:1512.00898v1 [math.AP] 2 Dec 2015

VERY WEAK SOLUTIONS OF THE STOKES PROBLEM IN A CONVEX POLYGON

MAKRAM HAMOUDA[1,2], ROGER TEMAM[2] AND LE ZHANG[2]

Abstract. Motivated by the study of the corner singularities in the so-called cavity flow, we establish in this article, the existence and uniqueness of solutions in $L^2(\Omega)^2$ for the Stokes problem in a domain Ω, when Ω is a smooth domain or a convex polygon. We establish also a trace theorem and show that the trace of u can be arbitrary in $L^2(\partial\Omega)^2$. The results are also extended to the linear evolution Stokes problem.

1. Introduction

We are interested in this article in the existence of very weak solutions for the (linear stationary) Stokes problem in a domain Ω of $\mathbb{R}^2$. The set Ω is assumed to be bounded, regular of class $\mathcal{C}^4$, or it could be a convex polygonal domain. More generally Ω can be what we will call a (convex) *domain of polygonal type* that is Ω a piecewise $\mathcal{C}^4$ domain, for which the tangent to the boundary Γ has a finite number of discontinuity points $S_1, \ldots, S_N$, with a well defined left and right tangent at these points, the angle between the tangents being $0 < \alpha_j < \pi$; hence the domain Ω needs not be convex in this case, we only require the angles to be convex.

Motivated by the study of a flow in such a domain Ω we start with the (stationary linear) Stokes problem, which, in its most general form reads:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\tilde{u} + \nabla\tilde{p} = f \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \text{div } \tilde{u} = h \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \tilde{u} = g \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma = \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

The emphasis in [Zha15] is on the so-called lid driven cavity problem. In this case Ω is the square $(0,1)\times(0,1)$, $f = h = 0$ and $g = (0,0)$ at $x = 0, 1$, and $y = 0$, and $g = (1,0)$, at $y = 1$; the discontinuities of g produce singularities and vortices at the corners $(0,1)$ and $(1,1)$. We describe this example in more detail in Section 4.

We know that if $f \in L^2(\Omega)^2, h = g = 0$, then the existence and uniqueness of a solution $\tilde{u} \in H_0^1(\Omega)^2$ of (1.1) is derived from the projection theorem, and $\tilde{p} \in L^2(\Omega)$ follows from the result of Deny-Lions [DL54]; see also [Tem01], [Wan93]. If $f \in L^2(\Omega)^2$ and $h \in$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 76D07, 35D30, 76D03.
Key words and phrases. Stokes and related (Oseen, etc.) flows; Weak solutions; Existence, uniqueness, and regularity theory.

$L^2(\Omega)$, with $\int_\Omega h dxdy = 0$, we have existence and uniqueness of $U \in H^2(\Omega)^2, P \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta U + \nabla P = f \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \text{div } U = h \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ U = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

In the case where Ω is smooth, this result is proved in [Cat61]; see also [Ghi84]. When Ω is of polygonal type, this result is proven in [Gri92]; see also [Gri85]. The difference $u = \tilde{u} - U, p = \tilde{p} - P$ is solution of the following problem which concentrates the lack of regularity on the boundary value, like for the lid driven cavity problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \nabla p = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \text{div } u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = g \text{ on } \Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

In Section 2 we derive a trace theorem for functions u in $L^2(\Omega)^2$ satisfying $(1.3)_1$, $(1.3)_2$, thus giving a meaning to $(1.3)_3$. Then in Section 3 we establish the existence and uniqueness of a solution $u \in L^2(\Omega)^2$ of (1.3) provided $\int_\Gamma g \cdot n \ d\Gamma = 0$ see Theorem 3.1. We discuss in more details an example of lid driven cavity flow in Section 4. Finally in Section 5 we extend the results to the linear evolution Stokes problem. Namely, we prove the necessary trace theorems, then, in Theorem 5.2, we show that if g is given in $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma)^2)$ satisfying $\int_\Gamma g \cdot n \ d\Gamma = 0$ for a.e. t then, there exists a unique solution $u \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^2)$ of

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + \nabla p = 0 \text{ in } (0,T) \times \Omega, \\ \text{div } u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = g \text{ on } (0,T) \times \Gamma, \\ u(0) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

with $p \in \mathcal{D}'((0,T) \times \Omega)$.

We conclude this introduction with an a priori estimate borrowed from [HS15] which we reproduce for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 1.1. *Assume that u, p and g are sufficiently regular (e.g. $u \in H^2(\Omega)^2, p \in H^1(\Omega), g \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma)^2$) and satisfy (1.3). Then*

$$|u|_{L^2(\Omega)^2} \leq c_1 |g|_{L^2(\Gamma)^2}, \tag{1.5}$$

where the constant c_1 depends only on Ω.

Proof The proof is based on a transposition argument used for general elliptic problems by Lions and Magenes [LM72].

We consider v, q solution of the adjoint problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v + \nabla q = u \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \text{div } v = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ v = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

We know from the references quoted above that, at least, $v \in H^2(\Omega)^2, q \in H^1(\Omega)$ so that the following integrations by parts make sense. We have, n denoting the outside unit normal on Γ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |u|^2 d\Omega &= \int_\Omega u \ (-\Delta v + \nabla q) \ d\Omega, \\ &= (\text{since } v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \text{ and div } u = \text{ div } v = 0) \\ &= -\int_\Gamma g \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \ d\Gamma - \int_\Omega \Delta u \ v \ d\Omega + \int_\Gamma g \cdot n q \ d\Gamma \\ &= \int_\Gamma (g \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} - g \cdot n) \ q \ d\Gamma \\ &\leq |g|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)^2} |\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)^2} + |g \cdot n|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)} |g|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} \\ &\leq c|g|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)^2} \left(|v|_{H^2(\Omega)^2} + |q|_{H^1(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\leq (\text{using the } H^2(\Omega) \text{ regularity for (1.6)}) \\ &\leq c|g|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)^2} |u|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq c|g|_{L^2(\Gamma)^2} |u|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proven.

Remark 1.1. *It follows from the proof of Lemma 1.1 that we can replace* $|g|_{L^2(\Gamma)^2}$ *by* $|g|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)^2}$ *in the left-hand side of* (1.5)*. We will not use this improvement here because it is not easy to compute* $|g|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)^2}$.

Remark 1.2. *In the above we have used the* $H^2 - H^1$ *regularity for the Stokes problem, that is* $v \in H^2(\Omega)^2, q \in H^1(\Omega)$ *when* $u \in L^2(\Omega)^2$*. This is classical when* Ω *is smooth, say* $\mathcal{C}^4$ *(see e.g.* [Cat61], [Ghi84]*), and is proven in* [Gri85], [Gri92] *for the type of polygonal domains we are considering.*

2. A trace theorem

We want to define the trace on Γ of a function $u \in L^2(\Omega)^2$ which satisfies $(1.3)_{1,2}$ for some distribution $p \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. We first recall (see e.g. [Tem01]), that if $u \in L^2(\Omega)^2$ and div $u \in L^2(\Omega)$, the trace of its normal component $\gamma_n(u) = u_n|_\Gamma$ is defined and belongs to $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$. Hence we only need to define the trace of its tangential component $\gamma_\tau(u) = u \cdot \tau|_\Gamma$.

We will make use of the following result from Héron [Her81].

Theorem 2.1. *Let*

$$Y_2(\Omega) = \left\{ v \in H^2(\Omega)^2, \ \textit{div}\ v = 0 \right\}.$$

Then a pair $(g_0, g_1) \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma)^2 \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma)^2$ *is the trace on* Γ *of* $(\gamma_0(v), \gamma_1(v)) = (v, \frac{\partial v}{\partial n})$, *where* $v \in Y_2(\Omega)$, *if and only if*

$$\int_\Gamma g_0 \cdot n_1 d\Gamma = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\textit{div}_{\ \Gamma}(g_0)_\tau + g_1 \cdot n - 2K \ \ g_0 \cdot n = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\textit{div}_{\ \Gamma}$ *is the tangential divergence on* Γ, $(g_0)_\tau$ *the tangential component of* g_0 *and* K *is the algebraic curvature of* Γ.

When we restrict to $g_0 = 0$, we obtain the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.1. *Let*

$$X_2(\Omega) = \left\{ v \in H^2(\Omega), \ \textit{div}\ v = 0, v = 0 \ \textit{on}\ \Gamma \right\}.$$

Then $g_1 \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)^2$ *is the trace* $\gamma_1(v)$ *of* $\partial v/\partial n$ *on* Γ *where* $v \in X_2(\Omega)$, *if and only if*

$$g_1 \cdot n = 0 \quad \textit{on}\ \Gamma, \tag{2.3}$$

or alternatively if and only if

$$g_1 \in H^{1/2}_\tau(\Gamma)^2, \tag{2.4}$$

the space of tangential vectors in $H^{1/2}(\Gamma)^2$.

Furthermore γ_1 *is surjective and continuous from* $X_2(\Omega)$ *onto* $H^{1/2}_\tau(\Gamma)^2$, *and it possesses a continuous left inverse* R *(lifting operator), that is* $R\gamma_1 = I$.

Proof The condition (2.3) - (2.4) is just the restriction of (2.1) - (2.2) to the case $g_0 = 0$. Of course the trace operator is continuous and since it is surjective, it is also continuous and one to one from the orthogonal of its kernel in $X_2(\Omega)$, $(\text{Ker}\ \gamma_1)^\perp$ onto $H^{1/2}_\tau(\Gamma)^2$. By the closed graph theorem it is bicontinuous from $(\text{Ker}\ \gamma_1)^\perp$ to $H^{1/2}_\tau(\Gamma)$ and its inverse R is a left inverse of γ_1. The proof is complete.

We now want to define the tangential trace on Γ, $\gamma_\tau(u)$, for a function u which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = \nabla p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \text{div } u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

for some distribution $p \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. Note that, by De Rham's theory (see e.g. Deny and Lions [DL54]), the set $F(\Omega)$ of u in $L^2(\Omega)^2$ satisfying (2.5) is closed in $L^2(\Omega)^2$, and is hence a Hilbert space for the norm of $L^2(\Omega)^2$. Note also that since $u \in L^2(\Omega)^2, \Delta u \in$

$H^{-2}(\Omega)^2$ and by the results of Deny and Lions [DL54] and the analogue of Proposition 1.2 in [Tem01], $p \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$.

The construction of $\gamma_\tau(u)$ will somehow mimic the construction of $\gamma_n(u) = u \cdot n|_\Gamma$ in [Tem01].

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that Γ is of class $\mathcal{C}^4$ or is of* polygonal type. *Then there exists a linear continuous operator $\gamma_\tau \in \mathcal{L}(F(\Omega), H_\tau^{-1/2}(\Gamma)^2)$, such that*

$$\gamma_\tau u = u \cdot \tau|_\Gamma \text{ for every } u \in F(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega})^2. \tag{2.6}$$

The following generalized Stokes formula is valid for all $u \in F(\Omega)$ and $g_1 \in H_\tau^{1/2}(\Gamma)^2$:

$$< \gamma_\tau u, g_1 >= \int_\Omega u \ \Delta v \ d\Omega, \tag{2.7}$$

where v is any function of $X_2(\Omega)$ such that $\gamma_1(v) = g_1$.

Proof For $u \in F(\Omega)$ and $g_1 \in H_\tau^{1/2}(\Gamma)^2$, we consider the expression

$$L_u(g_1) = \int_\Omega u \ \Delta v \ d\Omega, \tag{2.8}$$

where v is any function in $X_2(\Omega)$ such that $\gamma_1(v) = g_1$. For the coherence of the definition we first need to show that the expression (2.8) is independent of the choice of v. If v_1, v_2 are two such choices, and $v = v_1 - v_2$, we must show that

$$\int_\Omega u \ \Delta v \ d\Omega = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

whenever $v \in X_2(\Omega)$ and $\gamma_1(v) = 0$. In this case

$$v \in \tilde{X}_2(\Omega) = \left\{ v \in H_0^2(\Omega), \ \text{div } v = 0 \right\}. \tag{2.10}$$

We prove below, in Lemma 2.1 that

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^2, \ \text{div } v = 0 \right\} \tag{2.11}$$

is dense in $\tilde{X}_2(\Omega)$. Then to prove (2.9) we observe that, for $v \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega u \ \Delta v \ d\Omega = & < u, \Delta v >_{\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}'} \\ = & < \Delta u, v >_{\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}'} = - < p, \ \text{div } v >_{\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}'}, \end{aligned}$$

and this expression vanishes since $v \in \mathcal{V}$.

In addition, if we write (2.8) with $v = Rg_1$, R the left-inverse of γ_1 given by Corollary 2.1, we observe that the expression $L_u(g_1)$ is linear continuous on $H_\tau^{1/2}(\Gamma)^2$ as indeed

$$\begin{aligned}|L_u(g_1)| &= |\int_\Omega u \ \Delta(Rg_1) \ d\Omega| \\ &\leq |u|_{L^2(\Omega)^2}|\Delta(Rg_1)|_{L^2(\Omega)^2} \\ &\leq c|u|_{L^2(\Omega)^2}|Rg_1|_{H^2(\Omega)^2} \\ &\leq c|g_1|_{H_\tau^{1/2}(\Gamma)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, to prove (2.6) we observe that if $u \in F(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega})^2$ and $v \in X_2(\Omega)$ then the following integrations by parts are legitimate:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega u \ \Delta v \ d\Omega &= \int_\Omega \Delta u \ v + \int_\Gamma (u\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}v)d\Gamma \\ &= \int_\Gamma u\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}d\Gamma + \int_\Omega \nabla p \ v \ d\Omega \\ &= \int_\Gamma u \ \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \ d\Gamma + \int_T p \ v \ n \ d\Gamma - \int_\Omega p \ \text{div} \ v \ d\Omega \\ &= \int_\Gamma u \ \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \ d\Gamma.\end{aligned}$$

We are left with proving the following:

Lemma 2.1. *$\mathcal{V}$ is dense in $\tilde{X}_2(\Omega)$.*

Proof It is clear that $\mathcal{V} \subset \tilde{X}_2(\Omega)$. We will prove the lemma by showing that any linear continuous form ℓ on $\tilde{X}_2(\Omega)$ which vanishes on $\mathcal{V}$ is equal to zero.

We observe that $\tilde{X}_2(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $(H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))^2$ and, noticing that $|\Delta v|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ is a norm on $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, we see that ℓ is necessarily of the form

$$\ell(v) = \int_\Omega \phi \ \Delta v \ d\Omega,$$

for some $\phi \in L^2(\Omega)^2$. We now write that ℓ vanishes on $\mathcal{V}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(v) = \int_\Omega \phi \ \Delta v \ d\Omega &= < \phi, \Delta v >_{\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}'}, \\ &= < \Delta\phi, v >_{\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}'}, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.\end{aligned}$$

But this classically implies that $\Delta\phi = \nabla\pi$ for some $\pi \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ which actually belongs to $H^{-2}(\Omega)$ as observed above.

Now for any $v \in \tilde{X}_2(\Omega), \nabla\pi = \Delta\phi \in H^{-2}$ and

$$\ell(v) = < \nabla\pi, v >_{H^{-2},H^2} .$$

This expression vanishes because $v \in H_0^2(\Omega)^2$. Indeed using the fact that $\nabla\pi \in H^{-2}(\Omega)$, we see that

$$< \nabla\pi, v >_{H^{-2},\ H_0^2} = - < \pi, \nabla v >_{H^{-1}, H_0^1} = \lim_{j\to\infty} < \pi, \nabla v_j >_{H^{-1}, H_0^1},$$

where $v_j \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^2$ converges to v in $H_0^2(\Omega)^2$ (*not necessarily in* $\tilde{X}_2(\Omega)$). In the end

$$< \nabla\pi, v >_{H^{-2},\ H_0^2} = < \pi, \nabla v > = 0.$$

3. The main theorem (Time independent case)

Our aim is now to prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution $u \in L^2(\Omega)^2$ for (1.3) when g is given in $L^2(\Gamma)^2$.

Theorem 3.1. *We assume that Ω is of class $\mathcal{C}^4$ or is of* polygonal type*, and that g is given in $L^2(\Gamma)^2$ satisfying*

$$\int_\Gamma g \cdot n \ d\Gamma = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Then there exists a unique solution $u \in L^2(\Omega)^2$ satisfying (1.3) *for some $p \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$.*

Proof It is easy to construct a sequence $\tilde{g}_j \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma)^2$ (or possibly more regular), which converges to g in $L^2(\Gamma)^2$. Considering then

$$g_j = \tilde{g}_j - \frac{1}{|\Gamma|}\left(\int_\Gamma \tilde{g}_j \cdot n \ dT\right) n, \tag{3.2}$$

we see that the g_j belong (at least) to $H^{3/2}(\Gamma)^2$, satisfy (3.1) and converge to g in $L^2(\Gamma)^2$.

For each j, thanks to [Cat61] when Ω is of class $\mathcal{C}^4$, and to [Gri92] when Ω is of polygonal type, we infer the existence of $(u_j, p_j) \in H^2(\Omega)^2 \times H^1(\Omega)$, satisfying (1.3) with g replaced by g_j. We see, thanks to Lemma 1.1, that the sequence u_j is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)^2$. More precisely, as observed before, the sequence u_j is bounded in $F(\Omega)$. As $j \to \infty$, we infer the existence of $u \in F(\Omega)$ and a subsequence still denoted j such that

$$u_j \to u \text{ weakly in } F(\Omega),$$

that is weakly in $L^2(\Omega)^2$, and u satisfies

$$-\Delta u + \nabla p = 0 \text{ in } \Omega,$$
$$\text{div } u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega,$$

for some distribution $p \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$.

In addition, the trace theorem from [Tem01] for γ_n, and the trace Theorem 2.2 above for γ_τ, tell us that $\gamma_0(u_j) = g_j$ converges to $\gamma_0(u) = g$ in $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)^2$. Hence u satisfies (1.3).

It remains to show the uniqueness of solution of (1.3). If $(u_1, p_1,), (u_2, p_2)$ are two solutions of (1.3) and if $u = u_1 - u_2, p = p_1 - p_2$, then

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \nabla p = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \text{div } u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma_0(u) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

We must show that $u = p = 0$. We consider (v, q) defined by (1.6) as in the proof of Lemma 1.1. Note that $v \in X_2(\Omega)$, and $q \in H^1(\Omega)$. We then write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |u|^2 d\Omega &= -\int_\Omega u \ \Delta u \ d\Omega + \int_\Omega u \ \nabla q \ d\Omega \\ &= (\text{using (2.7) and } \gamma_0(u) = 0) \\ &= \int_\Omega u \ \nabla q \ d\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

According to the integration by parts formula I. (1.9) in [Tem01], this last expression is equal to

$$-(\text{ div } u, \varphi) + (\gamma_n(u), \gamma_0(q)),$$

and, it thus vanishes since $\gamma_n(u) = 0$ by $(3.3)_3$ and div $u = 0$ by $(3.3)_2$.

We conclude that $u = 0$, thus proving the uniqueness.

Remark 3.1. *Another way to approach the problem* (1.3) *would be to introduce the stream function* Ψ *such that* $u = \partial\Psi/\partial y, v = -\partial\Psi/\partial x$ *and then* (1.3) *reduces to a biharmonic problem for* Ψ

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 \Psi = 0 \ \textit{in } \Omega, \\ \Psi = 0 \ , \ \dfrac{\partial \Psi}{\partial n} = g \ \textit{on } \Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

We would then be looking for a solution $\Psi \in H^1(\Omega)$ *of problem*(3.5) *properly formulated. When* Ω *is smooth such problems are treated in Lions and Magenes* [LM72]*, although the problem* (3.5) *is not explicitly mentioned in* [LM72]*. When* Ω *is a convex polygon or a domain of polygonal type, the methods of* [Gri85], [Gri92] *might apply but this remains to be done.*

Remark 3.2. *Various results describing the behavior of a fluid in a domain with corners appear in* [BDT13], [DT15], [Ser83]*. In the engineering and fluid mechanics literature see* [Kel83], [Mof63], [SLB89] *and* [SSB91].

4. Example for a lid driven cavity flow

We are interested in the cavity flow where $\Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ and the velocity on Γ is $(0, 0)$ at $x = 0, 1$, and $y = 0$ and, at $y = 1, g = (1, 0)$. This is a classical model

problem in computational fluid dynamics which has been the object of many studies see e.g. [BD73], [Bot12], [Bur66], [ECG05], [ESW05], [FPT71a], [FPT71b], [Gar11], [GGS82], [GMN81], [GMPQ02] - in dimension 3 -, [She89] and the references therein. See also [Cuv86], [Dav06], [Dun85]. The singularities at the corners $(0,1),(1,1)$ remain a substantial computational difficulty. In [Zha15] the author addresses this difficulty by replacing g by a continuous function g_ε which converges to g in $L^2(\Gamma)^2$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Such an approach has been successfully applied to the Korteweg de Vries and nonlinear Schröedinger equations, to deal with incompatible data; see [FQT12] and [QT12]; see also [CQT11a], [CQT11b] and see [Tem01] regarding incompatible initial data.

We can approximate g by g_ε which is identical to g except for the first component which is equal to

$$1-\sigma(x)e^{-x/\varepsilon}-\sigma(1-x)e^{-(1-x)/\varepsilon}, \tag{4.1}$$

where σ is a smooth function

$$\sigma(x)=\begin{cases} 1\ ,\ 0\le x\le 1/2 \\ \in[0,1],\ \frac{1}{2}\le x\le\frac{3}{4} \\ 0\ ,\ \frac{3}{4}\le x\le 1. \end{cases}$$

Both g and g_ε satisfy the necessary conditions

$$\int_\Gamma g\cdot n\ d\Gamma=\int_\Gamma g_\varepsilon\cdot n\ d\Gamma=0. \tag{4.2}$$

It is clear that g_ε converges to g in $L^2(\Gamma)^2$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$. In view of Theorem 3.1, there exists a unique solution (u,p) to the problem (1.3) with $u\in L^2(\Omega)^2$, and a (unique) solution $(u_\varepsilon,p_\varepsilon)$ to the problem (1.3) with g replaced by g_ε, and $u_\varepsilon\in L^2(\Omega)^2$; as usual the uniqueness of p_1,p_ε is meant up to the addition of a constant. In addition, when $\varepsilon\to 0$,

$$g_\varepsilon\to g \text{ in } L^2(\Gamma)^2, \tag{4.3}$$

and consequently

$$u_\varepsilon\to u \text{ in } L^2(\Omega)^2. \tag{4.4}$$

Remark 4.1. *If one wants to focus on one of the corner singularities only, one can consider the following variations of the cavity problem*

i) *For the corner $(0,1)$: $g^\flat$ is equal to g except the first component which is equal to y at $x=1$. Then $g^\flat_\varepsilon$ is the same as $g^\flat$ except the first component which is equal to*

$$1-\sigma(y)e^{y/\varepsilon},$$

on $y=1$.

ii) *For the corners* $(1,1)$: $g^\sharp$ *is equal to* g *except the first component which is equal to* y *at* $x=0$. *Then* $g_\varepsilon^\sharp$ *is the same as* $g^\sharp$ *except the first component which is equal to*

$$1-\sigma(1-y)e^{-(1-y)/\varepsilon},$$

on $y=1$.

It is clear that the analogues of (4.2), (4.3), (4.4) *are still valid in this case.*

5. The Time dependant case

We now want to derive the analogue of Theorem 3.1 in the time dependant case. We consider $T>0$ and set $Q_T=\Omega\times(0,T), \Gamma_T=\Gamma x(0,T)$, and we are interested in very weak solutions (in $L^2(Q_T)$) of the linearized evaluation Stokes problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t}-\Delta\tilde{u}+\nabla\tilde{p}=f \quad \text{in } Q_T,\\ \text{div } \tilde{u}=h \quad \text{in } Q_T,\\ \tilde{u}=g \quad \text{on } \Gamma_T,\\ \tilde{u}(0)=u_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{array}\right. \tag{5.1}$$

As in the stationary case, thanks to the result of [Sol64a], [Sol64b], we can introduce a lifting of f,h, and u_0, by considering the solution U,P of

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{\partial U}{\partial t}-\Delta U+\nabla P=f \quad \text{in } Q_T,\\ \text{div } U=h \quad \text{in } Q_T,\\ U=0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_T,\\ U(0)=u_0. \end{array}\right. \tag{5.2}$$

The results of [Sol64a], [Sol64b], guarantee enough regularity for f,h,u_0[1], and then $u=\tilde{u}-U, p=\tilde{p}-P$ are solutions of

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta u+\nabla p=0 \quad \text{in } Q_T,\\ \text{div } u=0 \quad \text{in } Q_T,\\ u=g \quad \text{on } \Gamma_T,\\ u(0)=0. \end{array}\right. \tag{5.3}$$

For suitable $g's$, we are interested in very weak solutions of (5.3) of the form $u\in L^2(Q_T)$.

Firstly we must show that $(5.3)_4$ makes sense when $u\in L^2(Q_T)$ satisfies $(5.3)_{1-3}$ and, say, $g\in L^2(\Gamma_T)$. Let V_2 be the closure of $\mathcal{V}$ in $H_0^2(\Omega)^2$, then $V_2\subset H\subset V_2'$ with continuous injections and each space dense in the next one and, $\forall v\in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V})$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u,v)=<\Delta u,v>-<\nabla p,v>=<u,\Delta v>, \tag{5.4}$$

[1]As we said, motivated by the lid driven cavity flow, we are interested in low regularity in g but assume f,h,u_0 as smooth as desirable

and by continuity, (5.4) holds for every $v \in L^2(0,T;V_2)$; and we conclude that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2(0,T;V_2') \tag{5.5}$$

and $u(0)$ makes sense, since u is a.e. equal to a continuous function from $[0,T]$ into V_2'.

A Priori estimate

Our first result now will be an analogue of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that u, p, g and u_0 are sufficiently regular (e.g. $u \in L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)^2, p \in L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)), u_0 \in H^1(\Omega)^2)$), and satisfy* (5.3). *Then*

$$|u|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^2)} \leq c_2(|u_0|_{L^2(\Omega)^2} + |g|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^2)}) \tag{5.6}$$

where the constant c_2 depends only on Ω and T.

Proof

The proof is based again on a transposition argument as in Lemma 1.1.

We consider v, q solutions of the adjoint system

$$\begin{cases} -\dfrac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + \nabla q = u \quad \text{in } Q_T, \\ \text{div } u = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_T, \\ v = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ v(T) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{5.7}$$

and we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_T} u^2 dxdt &= \int_{Q_T} u \ (-\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + \nabla q) \ dxdt \\ &= \int_\Omega u_0 \ v(0)dx + \int_{Q_T} (\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u) \ vdxdt - \int_{\Gamma_T} g \ (\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} - nq) \ d\Gamma_T \\ &= \int_\Gamma u_0 \ v(0)dx - \int_{Q_T} \nabla p \ v \ dQ_T - \int_{\Gamma_T} g \ (\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} - nq) \ d\Gamma_T \\ &= \int_\Omega u_0 \ v(0)dx - \int_{\Gamma_T} g \ (\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} - nq) \ d\Gamma_T \\ &\leq |u_0|_{L^2(\Omega)^2} |v(0)|_{L^2(\Omega)^2} \\ &\quad + |g|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_T)^2)} |\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} - nq|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_T)^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

According to the regularity results of [Sol64a], [Sol64b],

$$|v|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)^2)} + |q|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} + |\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}|_{\ L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^2)} \leq c|u|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^2)}, \tag{5.8}$$

for some constant c depending on Ω and T, and (5.6) follows.

Remark 5.1. *In relation with Remark 1.2 : the result of regularity* (5.8) *is proved in* [Sol64a], [Sol64b] *when* Ω *is smooth. Alternatively, and to cover the case* Ω *of polygonal type, we can write equation* (5.7) *(linear Stokes evolution problem with homogeneous boundary conditions), in the abstract form*

$$-\frac{dv}{dt} + Av = u, \ \ 0 < t < T, \ \ v(T) = 0, \tag{5.9}$$

where A *is the abstract Stokes problem in the space* $H = \{v \in L^2(\Omega)^2, \ div\ v = 0, v \cdot n = 0$ *on* $\Gamma\}$ *. By abstract (functional analysis) argument, we see that*

$$\frac{dv}{dt}, \ \ Av \in L^2(0,T;H),$$

and $Av \in L^2(0,T;H)$ *gives* $v \in L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)^2), q \in L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))$*, by invoking the stationary regularity results recalled in Remark 1.2. A result similar to* (5.6) *is valid for the full system* (5.1)*.*

The trace issues

We next deal with the trace issues.

In relation with (5.3) we want to consider the set $\mathcal{F}(Q_T)$ of functions u in $L^2(Q_T)^2$ such that, for some distribution p on $Q_T, p \in \mathcal{D}'(Q_T)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + \nabla p = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_T, \\ \text{div } u = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_T \\ u(0) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

Recall that we have shown in (5.3)-(5.6) that if $u \in L^2(Q_T)^2$ satisfies $(5.10)_{1-2}$ then u is a.e. equal to a continuous function from $[0,T]$ into V_2'. Hence $(5.10)_3$ makes sense and the definition of $\mathcal{F}(Q_T)$ is valid. Furthermore using the current theory [Rha84] as in the stationary case, we see that $\mathcal{F}(Q_T)$ is closed in Q_T. Indeed if (5.10) holds for a sequence $u_n \in L^2(Q_T)^2, p_n \in \mathcal{D}'(Q_T)$, and $u_n \to u$ in $L^2(Q_T)^2$, then ∇p_n converges to $F = \Delta u - \partial u/\partial t$ in $\mathcal{D}'(Q_T)$ and necessarily $F = \nabla p$ for some $p \in \mathcal{D}'(Q_T)$, so that (5.10) holds for u and p. Similarly div $u_n = 0$ converges to div u in $\mathcal{D}'(Q_T)$ so that div $u = 0$ and the trace $u_n(0)$ being continuous, it converges to $u(0)$ in V_2'. Hence (5.10) holds and $u \in \mathcal{F}(Q_T)$.

Concerning the trace on Γ_T of a function u in $\mathcal{F}(Q_T)$, we first observe that the normal component of $u, \gamma_n(u) = u \cdot n|_{\Gamma_T}$ is defined and belongs to $L^2(0,T;H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_T)^2)$, according to a standard trace result in the Navier-Stokes theory [Tem01]. So the issue is to define the tangential component of u on $\Gamma_T, \gamma_\tau(u)$. As in the stationary case, we will define $\gamma_\tau(u)$ by its duality with the trace on Γ_T of a suitable function v. More precisely consider

$$\mathcal{X}_2(Q_T) = \left\{v \in L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)^2, \ \text{div } v = 0, v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_T\right\},$$

and the subspace

$$\mathcal{Y}_2(Q_T) = \{v \in L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)^2),\ \text{div } v = 0,\\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^2), v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_T, v(T) = 0\}.$$

Using the lifting operator R from Corollary 2.1, we see that γ_1 is a surjective operator from $\mathcal{X}_2(Q_T)$ onto $L^2(0,T;H^{1/2}_\tau(\Gamma)^2)$. Also it is elementary to see that $\mathcal{Y}_2(Q_T)$ is dense in $\mathcal{X}_2(Q_T)$ equipped with the norm $|v|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)^2)}$ so that the traces $\gamma_1(v)$ for $v \in \mathcal{Y}_2(Q_T)$ are dense in $L^2(0,T;H^{1/2}_\tau(\Gamma)^2)$.

Now for $g_1 \in \gamma_1(\mathcal{Y}_2(Q_T))$ let v be one of the functions in $\mathcal{Y}_2(Q_T)$ such that $\gamma_1 v = \partial v/\partial n|_{\Gamma_T} = g_1$. For u satisfying (5.10), consider the expression

$$\mathcal{L}_u(g_1) = -\int_{Q_T} u\ (\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \Delta v)\ dxdt. \tag{5.11}$$

For u and v smooth we have by integration by parts, and using Green's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_u(g_1) &= \int_{Q_T} v\ (\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u)\ dxdt + \int_{\Gamma_T} u\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}\ d\Gamma_T\\ &= -\int_{Q_T} v\ \nabla p\ dxdt + \int_{\Gamma_T} u\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}\ d\Gamma_T\\ &= \int_{\Gamma_T} u\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}\ d\Gamma_T = \int_{\Gamma_T} u\ g_1\ d\Gamma_T.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the expression (5.11) has the potential to define and characterize the tangential components of u in $L^2(0,T;H^{-1/2}_\tau(\Gamma_\tau))$ since the g under consideration are dense in $L^2(0,T;H^{1/2}_\tau(\Gamma_T)^2)$.

Our next task is to show that the expression $\mathcal{L}_u(g_1)$ is independent of the choice of $v \in \mathcal{Y}_2(Q_T)$ such that $\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = g$ on Γ_T. Consider two such functions v_1, v_2 and their difference $v = v_1 - v_2$. We must show that

$$\int_{Q_T} u\ (\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \Delta v)\ dxdt = 0, \tag{5.12}$$

when $u \in \mathcal{F}(Q_T)$ and $v \in \mathcal{Y}_2(Q_T)$ satisfies $\partial v/\partial n = 0$ on Γ_T. Then such a v belongs to $L^2(0,T;H^2_0(\Omega)^2)$, that is $L^2(0,T;\tilde{X}_2(\Omega)), \tilde{X}_2(\Omega)$ as in (2.10). For v in $L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V})$, the expression (5.12) vanishes because it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&< -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta u, v >_{\mathcal{D}'(Q_T),\mathcal{D}(Q_T)}\\ &\quad = < -\nabla p, v >_{\mathcal{D}'(Q_T),\mathcal{D}(Q_T)} \ = \ 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since we showed in Lemma 2.1 that $\mathcal{V}$ is dense in $\tilde{X}_2(\Omega)$, we infer that $L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V})$ is dense in $L^2(0,T;\tilde{X}_2(\Omega))$ and (5.12) holds for any v in $\mathcal{Y}_2(Q_T)$ satisfying $\partial v/\partial n = 0$ on Γ_T.

We then have the analogue of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 5.1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1* (Γ *of class* $\mathcal{C}^4$ *or of* polygonal type*), there exists a linear continuous operator* $\gamma_\tau \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(Q_T), L^2(0,T;H_\tau^{-1/2}(\Gamma)^2))$ *such that*

$$\gamma_\tau u = u \cdot \tau|_{\Gamma_T} \text{ for every } u \in \mathcal{F}(Q_T) \cap \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{Q}_T).$$

The following generalized Stokes formula is valid for all $u \in \mathcal{F}(Q_T)$ *and* $g_1 \in \gamma_1(\mathcal{Y}_2(Q_T)) \subset L^2(0,T;H_\tau^{-1/2}(\Gamma)^2))$:

$$< \gamma_\tau u, g_1 >= \int_{Q_T} u \ (\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \Delta v) \ dxdt, \tag{5.13}$$

where v is any function of $\mathcal{Y}_2(Q_T)$ such that $\gamma_1(v) = g_1$.

All statements have been proven or are proven as in Section 2.

The existence and uniqueness theorem

Theorem 5.2. *We assume that* Ω *is of class* $\mathcal{C}^4$ *or is of* polygonal type *and that* g *is given in* $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma)^2)$ *satisfying* (3.1) *for a.e.* $t \in [0,T]$.

Then there exists a unique function $u \in L^2(Q_T)^2$ *satisfying* (5.3) *for some* $p \in \mathcal{D}'(Q_T)$.

Proof

We approach g by a sequence $g_j \in L^2(0,T;H^{3/2}(\Gamma)^2)$ as in (3.2), where g_j converges to g in $L^2(0,T;H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)^2)$ as $j \to \infty$. For each j we find, by [Sol64a], [Sol64b], $u_j, p_j \in L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)^2) \times L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))$ satisfying (5.3). The estimates provided by Lemma 5.1 show that the sequence u_j is bounded in $L^2(Q_T)^2$. Therefore u_j contains a subsequence weakly convergent in $L^2(Q_T)^2$ to some limit u, and u satisfies (5.3) for some $p \in \mathcal{D}'(Q_T)$, thanks to [Rha84].

We are left with the uniqueness, that is proving that $u = 0$ when $u \in \mathcal{F}(Q_T)$ satisfies (5.3) with $g = 0$. We introduce the solution v of the adjoint system as in (5.7). Then $v \in \mathcal{Y}_2(Q_T)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{Q_T} |u|^2 dxdt = \int_{Q_T} u \ (-\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + \nabla q) \ dxdt \\
&= (\text{ using (5.13) with } \gamma_1(u) = 0) \\
&= \int_{Q_T} u \ \nabla q \ dxdt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega u \ \nabla q \ dxdt,
\end{aligned}$$

and this last expression vanishes as in (3.4).

The theorem is proved.

Acknowledgments.

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under the grant NSF-DMS1206438 and NSF-DMS1510249, and by the Research Fund of Indiana University.

References

[BDT13] C. Bardos, F. Di Plinio, and R. Temam, The Euler equations in planar nonsmooth convex domains, *J. Math. Anal. and Applications*, **407**, 2013, 69–89.

[BD73] J.D. Bozeman and C. Dalton, Numerical Study of viscous flow in a cavity, *Journal of Computational Physics*, Vol. **12**, 1973, 348–363.

[Bot12] Olivier Botella, Numerical solution of Navier-Stokes singular problem by a Chebyshev projection method, Thesis, Université de Nice, France, 2012.

[Bur66] O.R. Burgaff, Analytical and Numerical studies of the structures of steady separated flows, *JFM*, Vol. **24**, 1966, 113–151.

[Cat61] Lamberto Cattabriga, Su un problema al contorno relativo al sistema di equazioni di Stokes, *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova. The Mathematical Journal of the University of Padova*, **31**, 1961, 308–340.

[CQT11a] Q. Chen, Z. Qin and R. Temam, Numerical resolution near $t = 0$ of nonlinear evolution equations in the presence of corner singularities in space dimension 1, *Communications in Computational Physics, CiCP*, **9**, No. 3, 2011, 568–586.

[CQT11b] Q. Chen, Z. Qin and R. Temam, Treatment of incompatible initial and boundary data for parabolic equations in higher dimension, *Mathematics of Computation*, **80**, 276, 2011, 2071–2096.

[Cuv86] C. Cuvelier, A. Segal and A.A. van Steenhoven, *Finite element methods and Navier-Stokes equations*, Mathematics and its Applications, **22**, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1986, xvi+483.

[Dav06] T. Davis, *Direct methods for sparse linear systems*, Fundamental of Algorithms, **2**, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2006, xii+217.

[DL54] J. Deny and J.L. Lions, Les espaces du type de Beppo Levi, *Université de Grenoble. Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, **5**, 1953–54, 305–370.

[DT15] F. Di Plinio and R. Temam, Grisvard's shift theorem near L^∞ and Yudovitch theory in polygonal domains, *SIAM J. of Nonlinear Analysis*, **47**, No. 1, 2015, 159–178. DOI: 10.1137/130942632

[Dun85] D.A. Dunavant, High degree efficient symmetrical Gaussian quadrature rules for the triangle, *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, **21**, 6, 1985, 1129–1148.

[ECG05] E. Erturk, T.C. Corke, and C. Gokcol, Numerical Solutions of 2-D Steady Incompressible Driven Cavity Flow at High Reynolds Numbers, *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, Vol. **48**, 2005, 747–774.

[ESW05] H. Elman, D. Silvester and A. Wathen, *Finite elements and fast iterative solvers, with application in incompressible fluid dynamics*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005.

[FPT71a] M. Fortin, R. Peyret, and R. Temam, Calcul des écoulements d'un fluide visqueux incompressible, *Proc. Second Intern. Conf. on Numerical Methods in Fluids Dynamics*, Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. **8**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[FPT71b] M. Fortin, R. Peyret and R. Temam, Résolution numérique des équations de Navier-Stokes pour un fluide incompressible, *J. Mécanique*, **10**, 1971, 357–390.

[FQT12] N. Flyer, Z. Qin and R. Temam, A penalty method for numerically handling dispersive equations with incompatible initial and boundary data, *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, **28**, 6, 2012, 1996-2009. DOI: 10.1002/num.21693

[Gar11] Salvador Garcia, Aperiodic, chaotic lid-driven square cavity flows, *Journal Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, **81** 9, 2011, 1741–1769. Elsevier Science Publishers B. V. Amsterdam, The Netherlands, The Netherlands table of contents doi¿10.1016/j.matcom.2011.01.011

[GGS82] U. Ghia, K.N. Ghia, and C.T. Shin, High-Resolutions for incompressible flow using the Navier-Stokes equations and a multigrid method, *Journal of Computational Physics*, Vol. **48**, 1982, 387–411.

[Ghi84] J.M. Ghidaglia, Régularité des solutions de certains problèmes aux limites linéaires liés aux équations d'Euler, *Comm. in Partial Differntial Equations*, **9**, (13), 1984, 1265–1298.

[Gri92] P. Grisvard, Singularities in boundary value problem, Recherches en Mathématiques Appliquées, Masson, Paris, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, **22**, 1992, xiv+199

[Gri85] P. Grisvard, *Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains*, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, **24**, 1985, xiv+410.

[GMPQ02] J.-L. Guermond, C. Migeon, G. Pineau, and L. Quartapelle, Start-up flows in a three-dimensional rectangular driven cavity of aspect ratio 1:1:2 at Re= 1000, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, **450**, 2002, 169–199.

[GMN81] Murli M. Gupta, Ram P. Manohar, and Ben Noble, Nature of viscous flows near sharp corners, *Computers and Fluids*, **9**, 4, 1981, 379–388.

[HS15] Makram Hamouda, and Abir Sboui, Boundary layers generated by singularities in the source function, *Asymptotic Analysis*, **93** (2015), no. 4, 281-310.

[Her81] B. Héron, Quelques propriétés des applications de trace dans des espaces de champs de vecteurs à divergence nulle, *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, **6**, 12, 1981, 1301–1334. DOI: 10.1080/03605308108820212

[Kel83] M.A. Kelmanson, Modified integral equation solution of viscous flows near sharp corners, *Computers & Fluids*, **11**, 4, 1983, 307–324.

[LM72] J.L. Lions, and E. Magenes, *Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications, Vol. I*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972, xvi+357.

[Mof63] H.K. Moffatt, Viscous and resistive eddies near a sharp corner, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, **18**, 1, 1963, 1–18.

[QT11b] Q. Chen, Z. Qin and R. Temam, Treatment of incompatible initial and boundary data for parabolic equations in higher dimension, *Mathematics of Computation*, **80**, 276, 2011, 2071-2096.

[QT12] Z. Qin and R. Temam, Penalty method for the KdV equations, *Applicable Analysis*, **91**, No. 1-2, 2012, 193-211, DOI: 10.1080/00036811.2011.579564.

[Rha84] G. de Rham, *Differentiable manifolds*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **266**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, x+167

[SLB89] W.W. Schultz, N.Y. Lee, N.Y. and J.P. Boyd, Chebyshev pseudospectral method of viscous flows with corner singularities, *Journal of Scientific Computing*, **4**, 1, 1989, 1–23.

[SSB91] M.R. Schumak, and W.W. Schultz, Spectral method solution of the Stokes equations on non-staggered grids, *Journal of Computational Physics*, **94**, 1991, 30–58.

[Ser83] Denis Serre, Equations de Navier-Stokes stationnaires avec données peu réguliéres, *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Classe di Scienze. Serie IV*, **10**, 4, 1983, 543–559.

[She89] Jie Shen, *Numerical simulation of the regularized driven cavity flows at high Reynolds numbers*, Spectral and high order methods for partial differential equations (Como, 1989), 273–280, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990

[Sol64a] V.A. Solonnikov, Estimates for solutions of a non-stationary linearized system of Navier-Stokes equations, *Akademiya Nauk SSSR. Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta imeni V.A. Stekova*, **70**, 1964, 213–317.

[Sol64b] V.A. Solonnikov, On the differential properties of the solution of the first boundary-value problem for a non-stationary system of Navier-Stokes equations, *Akademiya Nauk SSSR. Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta imeni V.A. Stekova*, **73**, 1964, 222–291.

[Tem01] Roger Temam, **Navier-Stokes Equations Theory and Numerical Analysis**, North-Holland Pub. Company, in English, 1977, 500 pages. Second revised edition, 1979. Third revised edition, 1984.
Russian Translation, Mir, Moscow, 1981. Reedition in the AMS-Chelsea Series, AMS,Providence, 2001.

[Wan93] Xiaoming Wang, A remark on the characterization of the gradient of a distribution, *Applicable Analysis*, **51**, 1993, 35–40.

[Zha15] Le Zhang, PhD in preparation, Indiana University, 2015.

[1] University of Carthage, Faculty of Sciences of Bizerte, Department of Mathematics, Zarzouna, Tunisia.
E-mail address, Makram Hamouda: mahamoud@indiana.edu

[2] The Institute for Scientific Computing and Applied Mathematics, Indiana University, 831 East Third Street, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, U.S.A.
E-mail address, Roger Temam: temam@indiana.edu
E-mail address, Le Zhang: zhangle@indiana.edu